ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A systematic review of neurological manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 infection: the devil is hidden in the details

M. Romoli[a,b], I. Jelcic[c], R. Bernard-Valnet[d] (iD), D. García Azorín[e] (iD), L. Mancinelli[a], T. Akhvlediani[f], S. Monaco[g], P. Taba[h,i] (iD) and J. Sellner[j,k,l] (iD) for the Infectious Disease Panel of the European Academy of Neurology

[a]*Neurology Unit, Maurizio Bufalini Hospital, Cesena;* [b]*Neurology Clinic, University of Perugia – S. Maria della Misericordia Hospital, Perugia, Italy;* [c]*Neuroimmunology and Multiple Sclerosis Research Section, Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Zurich, Zurich;* [d]*Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Lausanne University Hospital (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois) and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland;* [e]*Department of Neurology, Hospital Clinico de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain;* [f]*American MD Program, Faculty of Medicine, Tbilisi State Medical University, Tbilisi, Georgia;* [g]*Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University Hospital of Verona, Verona, Italy;* [h]*Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Tartu, Tartu;* [i]*Neurology Clinic, Tartu University Hospital, Tartu, Estonia;* [j]*Department of Neurology, Landesklinikum Mistelbach-Gänserndorf, Mistelbach;* [k]*Department of Neurology, Christian Doppler Medical Center, Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria; and* [l]*Department of Neurology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technische Universität München, München, Germany*

Background and purpose: We systematically reviewed available evidence for reports of neurological signs and symptoms in patients with COVID-19 to identify cases with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV)-2 infection or immune-mediated reaction in the nervous system.

Methods: We followed PRISMA guidelines and used the MEDLINE, EMBASE, Google Scholar, MedRxiv and ChinaXiv databases to search for articles on COVID-19 and nervous system involvement that were published from 1 January to 24 April 2020. Data on design, sample size, neurological assessment and related work-up were extracted. Biases were assessed with the Newcastle–Ottawa scale.

Results: We analysed 27 publications on potential neuroinvasive or parainfectious neurological complications of COVID-19. The reports focused on smell and taste ($n = 5$) and evaluation of neurological symptoms and signs in cohorts ($n = 5$). There were cases of Guillain-Barré syndrome/Miller-Fisher syndrome/cranial neuropathy (seven cases), meningitis/encephalitis (nine cases) and various other conditions (five cases). The number of patients with examination of cerebrospinal fluid and, in particular, SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction was negligible. Two had a positive SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction examination of cerebrospinal fluid specimen. Study of potential parenchymal involvement with magnetic resonance imaging was rare. Only four reports received a rating of the highest quality standards.

Conclusions: This systematic review failed to establish comprehensive insights into nervous system manifestations of COVID-19 beyond immune-mediated complications in the aftermath of respiratory symptoms. The authors therefore provide guidance for more careful clinical, diagnostic and epidemiological studies to characterize the manifestations and burden of neurological disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 on behalf of the Infectious Disease Panel of the European Academy of Neurology.

Correspondence: J. Sellner, Department of Neurology,
Landesklinikum Mistelbach-Gänserndorf, Liechtensteinstrasse 67,
2130 Mistelbach, Austria (tel.: +43 2572 9004 11550;
fax: +43 2572 9004 49332; e-mail: j.sellner@salk.at).

Introduction

The clinical spectrum of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV)-2 infection is wide and encompasses asymptomatic infection, mild upper respiratory tract illness and severe viral pneumonia with respiratory failure and sometimes death. From a neurobiological and translational viewpoint, neurological manifestations can be expected in COVID-19. This is substantiated, on the one hand, by a few cases with neurological signs and symptoms and detectable virus load in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) during the SARS-CoV-1 epidemic in 2003 [1]. SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 share genetic sequences but SARS-CoV-2 has a 10–20 times higher binding affinity to angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 [2]. On the other hand, angiotensin-converting enzyme-2, the functional receptor utilized by SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 for cell entry, is not only expressed in the lungs but also in the central nervous system (CNS) [3,4]. Expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 is found in neurons and non-neuronal cells, the latter including astrocytes, oligodendrocytes and olfactory support cells [5,6]. Moreover, infection of neurons with SARS-CoV-1 has been proven in transgenic mice and several presumed routes of CNS entry were described in pre-clinical models [7]. In a report from Wuhan, China, more than one-third of the hospitalized patients with COVID-19 had some sort of nervous system-related clinical signs or symptoms [8]. These included more specific conditions such as loss of sense of smell or taste, myopathy and stroke. There were also non-specific symptoms such as headache, impaired level of consciousness, dizziness or seizure. From a neuroinfectious viewpoint, the relevance of these findings is limited as these conditions can be coincidental, secondary to systemic complications or a side-effect of therapy. Only further diagnostic details such as focused neuroimaging, evaluation of cardiovascular risk factors and comorbidities, assessment of pro-thrombotic or systemic hyperinflammatory states, presence of intrathecal inflammation and systematic exclusion of differentials would enable a placement within the spectrum of COVID-19 complications.

This study therefore aimed to identify clinical cases of confirmed nervous system invasion or post-infectious neurological disease in the available COVID-19 literature on the basis of a systematic review. Members of the Infectious Disease Panel of the European Academy of Neurology (EAN) compiled guidance for the diagnostic approach, which emphasizes the need for precise case definitions and standards for reporting.

Methods

A systematic review was carried out to study all cases reporting nervous system involvement in patients with proven SARS-CoV-2 infection. The protocol followed the PRISMA guidance for reporting of systematic reviews. MEDLINE, EMBASE, Google Scholar, MedRxiv and ChinaXiv databases were searched for articles published from 1 January 2020 to 24 April 2020 regarding the nervous system and COVID-19. The search strings for PubMed were as follows: (("COVID"[All Fields] OR "coronavirus"[All Fields] OR "SARS-CoV-2"[All Fields]) AND (("neurology"[MeSH Terms] OR "neurolog*"[All Fields]) OR ("brain"[MeSH Terms] OR "brain"[All Fields]) OR ("neuro"[All Fields]) OR ("nervous system"[MeSH Terms] OR "nervous system"[All Fields])) AND ("2020/01/01"[PDAT]: "2020/04/24"[PDAT])). We also hand-searched reference lists of all articles identified in the electronic search using common search engines (e.g. Google, Bing). Ethical approval was not required.

The search selected studies reporting neurological features of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Studies were identified after search and data were extracted regarding: study design, sample size, neurological assessment and diagnostic work-up including brain imaging and CSF analysis. Biases were assessed with the Newcastle–Ottawa scale [9].

Results

The systematic search yielded 102 papers, of which 30 were eligible for full-text assessment (see Fig. 1 for PRISMA flow-chart). Four were excluded; these were commentaries, response letters and review articles proposing SARS-CoV-2 nervous system invasion but not comprising clinical findings.

A total of 26 publications reporting neurological disturbances in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection were evaluated; the major readouts are shown in Table 1. Bias assessment revealed low to fair quality in more than half of the studies (14/27, 52%), as shown in Fig. 2. This was mainly due to selection and reporting bias, as well as on the basis of uncertain exposure and lack of testing for SARS-CoV-2 with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in CSF. Only four reports reached a rating for high quality; one study evaluated neurological diagnoses of deceased patients with COVID-19 [10], another studied neurological signs and symptoms in a cohort of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 [8] and the third was a case series of peripheral nervous system dysfunction with

Identification

```
Records identified through          Additional records identified
    database searching                 through other sources
        (n = 98)                            (n = 13)
```

Screening

```
            Records after duplicates removed
                    (n = 103)
```

```
        Records screened                    Records excluded
          (n = 103)                            (n = 72)
```

Eligibility

```
    Full-text articles assessed         Full-text articles excluded,
        for eligibility                      with reasons
          (n = 31)                        (n = 4, reviews)
```

Included

```
        Studies included in
        qualitative synthesis
            (n = 27)
```

```
        Studies included in
       quantitative synthesis
          (meta-analysis)
            (n = 0)
```

Figure 1 PRISMA flow-chart.

in-depth phenotyping and diagnostic work-up [11]. The fourth study described the clinical characteristics, laboratory features, treatment and outcomes of cerebrovascular disease complicating SARS-CoV-2 infection [12]. Among the 221 consecutively admitted patients with COVID-19, 11 (5%) had acute ischaemic stroke, 1 (0.5%) had cerebral venous sinus thrombosis and 1 (0.5%) had cerebral hemorrhage.

Five observational studies evaluated smell and taste dysfunction. The first study used an internet-based platform in adults who underwent testing for COVID-19 and found a higher rate of smell and taste impairment in SARS-CoV-2-positive patients [13]. The second report was a European multicenter study of patients with mild-to-moderate COVID-19, which used standardized questionnaires [14]. The authors found olfactory and gustatory dysfunction in 85.6% and 88.0% of patients, respectively. The third study used an online checklist for self-reported anosmia/hyposmia [15]. In that cohort, 48% had hyposmia or anosmia and the onset was reported as sudden in 76%. The fourth report was a case-control study of smell and taste disorders among patients positive for SARS-CoV-2 on nasopharyngeal swab examination and also included SARS-CoV-2-negative patients as controls [16]. The authors found that patients with

Table 1 Reports on neurological disorders in association with respiratory severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection

Author	Theme	Design	n	SARS-CoV-2 testing	Neurological assessment	CSF	Brain imaging	Other neurological work-up	Main findings	Potential limitations
Bagheri [15]	Smell	Cross-sectional	10 069		No neurological assessment; smell loss evaluated with a self-reported online questionnaire	Not performed	NA	NA	48.2% of patients reported anosmia/hyposmia	No neurological/diagnostic work-up, no data on CSF
Beltrán-Corbellini [16]	Smell/taste	Case-control study	119	Nasopharyngeal swab	No neurological assessment; smell and taste loss evaluated with a patient-completed questionnaire	Not performed	NA	NA	39.2% of patients reported smell/taste dysfunction vs. 12.5% of patients with influenza (controls)	No neurological/diagnostic work-up, no data on CSF
Bernard-Valnet [28]	Encephalitis	Case series	2	Nasopharyngeal swab (positive) CSF (negative)	Case 1: NCSE, no meningism Case 2: headache, mental status changes, focal signs, no meningism	Negative viral RNA testing, high proteins	MRI: normal	EEG (case 1): anterior spikeand waves, irregular slow theta background	'Encephalitic symptoms' in patients with SARS-CoV-2	Negative viral RNA testing on CSF, normal neuroimaging
Chen [10]	Neurological disease	Retrospective case series	274	Nasopharyngeal swab	No specific work-up; data extracted from charts	Not performed	NA	NA	Hypoxic encephalopathy in 20% of deceased patients, occurrence in later disease stage; cerebrovascular disease in 1% of patients	No data on neurological assessment and work-up
Duong [29]	Encephalitis	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab	Headache, fever, seizure, meningism	High proteins, 70 cells/μL	CT: normal	EEG: unspecific slowing	Meningoencephalitis due to SARS-CoV-2	No brain MRI, no CSF viral testing
Filatov [34]	Encephalopathy	Case report	1	Unspecified	Encephalopathy (patient non-verbal, unable to follow any commands, no motor/sensory deficit, no meningism)	Normal glucose, normal protein, no cells, no viral RNA testing	CT: no acute lesions	EEG: bilateral slowing and focal slowing in the left temporal region with sharply countered waves	Encephalopathy due to SARS-CoV-2. No abnormalities in CSF	No SARS-CoV-2 PCR in CSF
Gutierrez-Ortiz [21]	PNS	Case series	2	Nasopharyngeal swab (positive) CSF (negative)	Case 1: right internuclear ophthalmoparesis, ataxia Case 2: multiple cranial neuropathies	Negative viral RNA testing. Case 1 had positive GD1b-IgG, case 2 not tested	NA	No	Miller-Fisher syndrome 5 days after COVID-19 onset; cranial neuropathies 3 days after COVID-19 onset	Negative viral RNA testing on CSF; no brain imaging or nerve conduction studies

(continued)

Table 1 *(Continued)*

Author	Theme	Design	n	SARS-CoV-2 testing	Neurological assessment	CSF	Brain imaging	Other neurological work-up	Main findings	Potential limitations
Helms [18]	Neurological disease	Multicenter observational prospective	58	Nasopharyngeal swab	65% of patients with COVID-19-related ARDS in ICU had confusion, 69% agitation, 67% pyramidal signs, 36% dysexecutive syndrome	n = 7: elevated proteins (n = 1), negative PCR for SARS-CoV-2 (n = 7)	MRI (n = 13): focal leptomeningeal enhancement (n = 8), perfusion abnormalities (n = 11), diffusion-weighted ischaemic lesions (n = 3)	EEG: unspecific changes	Encephalopathy is common in patients with ARDS due to COVID-19; single acute DWI ischaemic lesions can be seen on MRI.	All patients had negative viral RNA testing on CSF; only 8% assessed before sedation/neuromuscular blockade
Lechien [14]	Smell/taste	Multicenter observational prospective	417	Nasopharyngeal swab	No neurological assessment; smell and taste loss evaluated with a patient-completed questionnaire	Not performed	No	No	85% of patients reported olfactory dysfunction, 88% gustatory dysfunction; both associated with fever	No neurological/diagnostic work-up, no data on CSF
Li [12]	Acute cerebrovascular disease	Retrospective observational	221	Nasopharyngeal swab	No specific work-up; data extracted from charts	Not performed	MRI consistent with cerebrovascular disease	No	5% of patients developed acute ischaemic stroke, 0.5% cerebral venous sinus thrombosis, 0.5% cerebral hemorrhage	No neurological/diagnostic work-up, no data on CSF
Lu [19]	Seizure	Multicenter retrospective	304	Nasopharyngeal swab	8 (2.6%) encephalopathic; 2 had 'seizure-like' presentation, diagnosed as acute stress reaction (1) and electrolyte disturbance (1)	Not performed	NA	NA	No patient admitted with COVID-19 had a seizure or status epilepticus	No routine or continuous EEG performed
Mao [8]	Neurological disease	Retrospective observational case series	216	Nasopharyngeal swab	CNS manifestations (dizziness, headache, impaired consciousness, cerebrovascular disease, ataxia, seizure), PNS (taste impairment, smell impairment, vision impairment and nerve pain) and skeletal muscular	Not performed	NA	NA	36.4% of patient with COVID-19 had neurological manifestations, which were more prevalent in patients with more severe disease course	No neurological/diagnostic work-up, no data on CSF

(continued)

Table 1 (*Continued*)

Author	Theme	Design	n	SARS-CoV-2 testing	Neurological assessment	CSF	Brain imaging	Other neurological work-up	Main findings	Potential limitations
Moein [17]	Smell	Case-control study	120	Nasopharyngeal swab	No neurological assessment; smell and taste loss evaluated with a objective validated test injury manifestations were assessed	Not performed	NA	NA	85.0% of patients had moderate hyposmia to anosmia vs. 0% of healthy controls	Healthy controls picked from previous database; no data on brain imaging and CSF
Moriguchi [27]	Encephalitis	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab (negative) CSF (positive)	Coma (Glasgow Coma Scale score 6), neck stiffness, generalized seizures during transport and in later disease stage (treated with levetiracetam)	High opening pressure, colorless and clear, 12 cells/µL (10 mononuclear cells, 2 polymorphonuclear cells); RT-PCR positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA	MRI: peri-ventricular temporal DWI hyperintensity, hyperintense right mesial temporal and hippocampal region on FLAIR, no contrast enhancement	No	SARS-CoV-2 can cause ventriculitis and encephalitis; viral RNA was detected in CSF, brain MRI showed involvement of temporal lobe, patient presented generalized seizures (treatment included ceftriaxone, vancomycin, acyclovir, steroids, levetiracetam, favipinavir)	1/2 samples on CSF positive for viral RNA at first (reanalysis showed 2/2 positive); differential diagnosis with hippocampal sclerosis accompanying post-convulsive encephalopathy
Padroni [47]	PNS	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab	Moderate symmetric distal limb weakness, loss of deep tendon reflexes, preserved sensation	High proteins (dissociations with no cellularity)	NA	Nerve conduction studies consistent with motor-sensory demyelinating polyneuropathy	GBS developed 22 days after first COVID-19 symptom	No viral RNA testing on CSF; no anti-ganglioside antibody testing
Poyiadji [26]	Encephalitis	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab	Fever and altered mental status (no neurological examination reported)	Negative bacterial culture, negative tests for HSV, Varicella-Zoster, West Nile virus; testing for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in the CSF was unable to be performed	MRI: hemorrhagic rim enhancing lesions within the bilateral thalami, medial temporal lobes and subinsular regions	No	Acute necrotizing encephalopathy associated with SARS-CoV-2 (treated with IVIG)	No viral RNA testing on CSF; necrotizing encephalopathy also attributable to cytokine storm (rather than SARS-CoV-2 direct neuroinvasion)

(continued)

Table 1 (*Continued*)

Author	Theme	Design	n	SARS-CoV-2 testing	Neurological assessment	CSF	Brain imaging	Other neurological work-up	Main findings	Potential limitations
Sedaghat [48]	PNS	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab	Acute progressive symmetric ascending quadriparesis	Not performed	MRI (brain and cervical): normal	Nerve conduction studies consistent with motor-sensory axonal involvement	GBS (AMSAN) 2 weeks after COVID-19 infection	No data on CSF; hypothesized a post-infectious autoimmune sensitization (no supporting serology data)
Sharif-Razavi [33]	Stroke	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab	Headache, haemoptysis, confusion	Not performed	CT: intracerebral hemorrhage	No	Intracerebral hemorrhage associated with SARS-CoV-2	No data on CSF, no data on coagulation screening
Toscano [11]	PNS	Case series	5	Nasopharyngeal swab (positive) CSF (negative)	Flaccid areflexic tetraparesis (n = 3), paraparesis (n = 1), facial diplegia and limb paresthesia (n = 1)	High proteins (dissociation with no cellularity) (n = 3), normal proteins (n = 2); negative anti-ganglioside antibodies (n = 3/3), negative SARS-CoV-2 RNA (n = 5/5)	MRI (brain and cervical): enhancement of nerve roots or facial nerve (n = 3/5)	Nerve conduction studies consistent with axonal variant (n = 3) and demyelinating variant (n = 2) of GBS	GBS developed 5–10 days after first symptoms of COVID-19; response to IVIG was poor in 2 cases. SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive in 3/5 patients.	No data on other potential pathogens, anti-ganglioside antibodies tested negative
Catello Vollono [49]	Seizure	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab	NCSE in a patient with post-HSV1 encephalitis structural epilepsy	Not performed	MRI: temporo-parietal gliosis (previous encephalitis), no acute lesions	EEG: left centro-temporal lateralized semi-rhythmic delta activity	NCSE as sole manifestation of SARS-CoV-2	No data on CSF, NCSE attributable to structural epilepsy and fever
Xiang [25]a	Encephalitis	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab CSF (positive)	Seizures and persistent hiccups developed 96 h after starting mechanical ventilation; meningism, pyramidal signs	High opening pressure, colorless, clear, PCR positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA	CT: normal	NA	Acute encephalitis associated with SARS-CoV-2 (treated with IVIG, steroids, antibiotics, antiseizure medications)	No brain MRI data, methods for PCR in CSF not available
Yan [13]	Smell/taste	Cross-sectional	262	Nasopharyngeal swab	No neurological assessment; smell and taste loss assessed with a subjective olfaction test	Not performed	No	No	Smell and taste loss in 68% and 71% of SARS-CoV-2 positive vs. 16% and 17% in negative subjects	No data on CSF or brain imaging; only smell and taste tested; no consecutive enrollment; phone survey

(continued)

Table 1 (Continued)

Author	Theme	Design	n	SARS-CoV-2 testing	Neurological assessment	CSF	Brain imaging	Other neurological work-up	Main findings	Potential limitations
Ye [22]	Encephalitis	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab (negative) CSF (negative)	Meningism and pyramidal signs	Normal opening pressure, normal biochemistry, RT-PCR negative for SARS-CoV-2 RNA, with IgM and IgG not detectable	CT: normal	No	Presumed encephalitis associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection	No data on brain imaging, negative nasopharyngeal and CSF testing
Yin [23]	Meningitis	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab (negative) CSF (negative)	Meningismus and pyramidal signs	Normal opening pressure, high proteins, negative for SARS-CoV-2 RNA	CT: normal	No	Presumed SARS-CoV-2 nervous system invasion through meninges	No advanced neuroimaging performed, negative viral RNA testing on CSF
Zhang [31]	ADEM	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab	Encephalopathy, dysphagia, dysarthria (ADEM)	Normal, no viral RNA testing	MRI: atypical ADEM	No	Atypical ADEM in a patient with SARS-CoV-2	No CSF viral testing, no spinal cord MRI, brain MRI atypical for ADEM
Zhao [20]	PNS	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab	Symmetric weakness, areflexia, distal decrease of thermo-dolorific sensation	Normal cell count, increased proteins; viral testing not performed	No	Nerve conduction studies: demyelinating neuropathy	GBS 8 days before COVID-19 onset	Swab test positive 8 days after onset of neuropathy No SARS-CoV-2 PCR in CSF No microbiological assessment at diagnosis
Zhao [30]	Myelitis	Case report	1	Nasopharyngeal swab	Flaccid paraparesis, urinary and bowel incontinence, sensory thoracic level, decreased tendon reflexes	Not performed	CT: no acute lesion	No	Presumed SARS-CoV-2 myelitis developing 7 days after fever onset	No data on spinal cord MRI, no CSF analysis, 4/6 swabs negative

ADEM, acute disseminated encephalomyelitis; AMSAN, acute motor-sensory axonal neuropathy; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; CNS, central nervous system; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CT, computerized tomography; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; EEG, electroencephalography; FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; GBS, Guillain-Barré syndrome; HSV, Herpes simplex virus; ICU, intensive care unit; Ig, immunoglobulin; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NA, not available; NCSE, non-convulsive status epilepticus; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PNS, peripheral nervous system; RNA, ribonucleic acid; RT-PCR, real-time polymerase chain reaction; [a]Publication is untraceable in ChinaXiv; data extracted from hospital site report, available at http://www.bjdth.com/html/1/151/163/3665.html

Risk of bias

Author	Representativeness of the exposed cohort	Selection of the cohort/sample	Ascertainment of exposure	Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study	Comparability	Assessment of outcome	Follow-up	Adequacy of follow up of cohorts	Overall	Overall quality
	Selection					Outcome				
Bagheri (2020) [1]	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	6	moderate
Beltran-Corbellini (2020) [2]	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	6	moderate
Bernard-Valnet (2020) [3]	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	6[a]	moderate
Chen (2020)[4]	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	9	high
Duong (2020)[5]	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	4	low
Filatov (2020)[6]	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	low
Gutierrez-Ortiz (2020) [7]	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	5	moderate
Helms (2020)[8]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	7	fair
Lechien (2020)[9]	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	7	fair
Li (2020)[10]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	high
Lu (2020) [11]	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	6	moderate
Mao (2020)[12]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	high
Moein (2020)[13]	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	6	moderate
Moriguchi (2020)[14]	1	1	1[a]	1	0	1	1	1	7[a]	fair
Padroni (2020) [47]	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	5	moderate
Poyiadji (2020)[15]	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	5	moderate
Sedaghat (2020)[16]	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4	low
Sharifi-Razavi (2020) [17]	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	low
Toscano (2020)[18]	1	1	1[a]	1	1	1	1	1	8[a]	high
Vollono (2020)[19]	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	4	low
Xiang (2020)[20][b]	0	0	1[a]	1	0	1	0	0	3[a]	low
Yan (2020)[21]	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	5	moderate
Ye (2020)[22]	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	low
Yin (2020) [23]	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	3	low
Zhang (2020) [31]	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	4	low
Zhao (2020)[24]	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4	low
Zhao (2020)[25]	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	low

[a]tested viral RNA in CSF.

[b]untraceable.

Figure 2 Assessment of study bias using the Ottawa–Newcastle scale. CSF, cerebrospinal fluid.

COVID-19 were significantly younger (81%) and had a high rate of smell (45%) and taste (90%) disorders. The fifth study found smell dysfunction in 98% of the SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab PCR-positive patients and reported that this was evident for all 40 odorants studied [17]. The study also had an age- and sex-matched control group. None of these five studies provided data on CSF analysis or brain imaging.

Five studies examined neurological disorders in cohorts. In the first study, hypoxic encephalopathy was the cause of death in 20% of patients who died from COVID-19 [10]. The second report assessed neurological manifestations in a cohort hospitalized at three dedicated COVID-19 inpatient centers [8]. They found that 36.4% of patients had various neurological manifestations that involved the CNS, peripheral nervous system and skeletal muscles. Brain imaging, CSF analysis and further work-up were reported in neither study. The third study reported neurological features in 90.6% of consecutive patients admitted because of acute respiratory distress syndrome due to COVID-19 and treated in the intensive care unit [18]. Confusion, agitation, pyramidal signs and dysexecutive syndrome were the most common clinical manifestations. Cerebral magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed in 13/58 (22%) patients and there was evidence of leptomeningeal enhancement in 62% and ischaemic stroke in 23% of patients, respectively. Electroencephalography (8/58, 14%) and CSF (7/58, 12%) examinations were performed in some patients. None of the patients had a pleocytosis in CSF. A multicenter retrospective study evaluated the occurrence of seizures in patients with COVID-19 [19]. There was not a single case of symptomatic seizures or status epilepticus among this cohort in which patients with epilepsy were excluded *a priori*. The fifth study has already been covered above and concerned the rate of acute cerebrovascular events (6%) in a cohort of patients with COVID-19 [12]. The average time from symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 infection to clinical manifestation of cerebrovascular disease was 10 (interquartile range 1–29) days. The patients with cerebrovascular disease were significantly older, more likely to suffer from severe respiratory disease and more likely to have cardiovascular risk factors and medical history of cerebrovascular disease. They were also more likely to have an increased inflammatory response and hypercoagulable state.

Four publications reported eight cases of Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) in patients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nerve conduction studies disclosed both demyelinating and axonal neuropathies ($n = 4$ and $n = 4$, respectively). All but one case occurred with a time lag from the respiratory symptoms (range 5–22 days). In the remaining case, the clinical manifestation of GBS preceded COVID-19 symptoms by 8 days [20]. In the case series of five patients with GBS, three patients had high protein levels and all tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 in CSF, as well as for anti-ganglioside antibodies [11]. The other reports did not perform PCR for SARS-CoV-2 or testing for immunoglobulin (Ig) levels and did not investigate anti-ganglioside antibodies in CSF or serum. In addition to the GBS cases, a case of Miller-Fisher syndrome with positive GD1b-IgG and a case of multiple cranial neuropathies, both with negative SARS-CoV-2 PCR in CSF, were found [21].

Nine cases of encephalitis/meningitis and presumed association with COVID-19 were reported in eight publications. Amongst these was a case of encephalitis in a patient with negative SARS-CoV-2 testing on both nasopharyngeal swab and CSF (normal cell count) in whom MRI was not performed [22]. Another patient with presumed encephalitis had normal cell count and negative SARS-CoV-2 PCR in CSF and MRI was not performed [23]. A similar constellation was reported for another case [24]. There is one patient with a diagnosis of COVID-19-related encephalitis for whom data could only be retrieved from the hospital report [25]. In that case, the neurological symptoms included seizures and hiccups and SARS-CoV-2 PCR in CSF was positive. For the case of acute necrotizing encephalitis, a SARS-CoV-2 PCR was not performed in CSF [26]. A pathogenesis triggered by a COVID-19-related cytokine storm was subsequently assumed. A positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR in CSF was present in a patient with right temporal lobe encephalitis and ventriculitis [27]. Two patients were classified as having meningoencephalitis in association with COVID-19 [28]. Both had encephalitic symptoms, including non-convulsive status epilepticus and mental changes, with normal MRI and negative SARS-CoV-2 PCR in CSF. A case of meningoencephalitis was described where the patient had meningism, headache, fever and seizures and was PCR negative for SARS-CoV-2 in CSF [29].

There were five further case reports, which were related to various aspects. There was one patient in whom the authors assumed myelitis as the final diagnosis [30]. In detail, the patient had a myelopathic syndrome 7 days after the onset of respiratory symptoms but was not evaluated with MRI or lumbar puncture. There was a case of presumed acute disseminated encephalomyelitis with only minimal contrast enhancement on brain MRI [31]. CSF examination was normal (cell count, protein, glucose) and SARS-CoV-2 PCR was only performed for nasopharyngeal swab, which was positive. Furthermore, there was a patient with pre-existing epilepsy related to Herpes simplex virus

encephalitis who presented with non-convulsive status epilepticus in the context of COVID-19 infection [32]. The authors discussed fever as the cause of lowering of the threshold for seizures in a brain with structural damage. The case of intracerebral hemorrhage that occurred 3 days after fever and respiratory symptoms did not have obvious coagulation disturbances [33]. Vascular imaging and CSF diagnostic were not performed. A patient with headache, altered mental status, fever and cough was classified as acute encephalopathy [34]. Electroencephalography ruled out status epilepticus, CSF showed normal results and SARS-CoV-2 PCR in CSF was not performed.

Overall, there were two patients positive for SARS-CoV-2 PCR in CSF among the four examined patients [25,27].

Discussion

Our systematic search yielded only a limited number of studies and a significant reporting bias. This does not enable an in-depth characterization of neuroinfectious diseases associated with COVID-19. Indeed, the quality, design and sample size of the available studies prevent us from drawing conclusions on possible direct neuroinvasive disease caused by SARS-CoV-2. The available literature does, however, provide evidence for unspecific symptoms commonly seen in viral infections, including smell and taste disturbances and the chance of immune-mediated peripheral nerve involvement. Our analysis also suggests that there is an overdiagnosis of neurological disorders due to the inappropriate use of case definitions and restricted exclusion of potential mimics.

Nervous system involvement has been reported during previous coronavirus epidemics. Interestingly, the analysis of the SARS-CoV-1 and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome epidemics identified only a few anecdotal case reports and could not provide comprehensive insights into the clinical and radiological picture of neurological disease [1]. Moreover, there are pre-clinical studies reporting the neuroinvasive potential of coronaviruses and their immunogenicity [3]. The cases of GBS that we identified in our analysis are more consistent with a parainfectious disorder, i.e. a syndrome occurring during or soon after the viral syndrome, rather than a post-infectious syndrome. The limited literature for the COVID-19 outbreak could be seen in the restricted documentation due to the restriction on resources posed by the medical challenges. Indeed, it is conceivable that most emphasis was placed on the management of severe respiratory symptoms and restricted intensive care unit capacity. It is obvious that neurologists are required for the care of patients with

COVID-19 [35,36]. Their active involvement is not only mandatory for the work-up of presumed infectious and immune-mediated conditions but also for patients with reduced consciousness and nervous system complications of cardiac, pulmonary and coagulation disturbances related to SARS-CoV-2 [36]. Moreover, hypoxic brain injury may be the reason for clinical deterioration in a subgroup of patients. The potential association of SARS-CoV-2 with cerebrovascular diseases needs to be assessed in more detail; prospective trials with systematic use of ancillary investigations to confirm direct and indirect mechanisms of action are mandatory in order to gain further insights. From a neuroinfectious viewpoint, the major limitation of the available reports was that precise case definitions were not used, CSF testing was performed in only a subgroup of patients and exclusion of other potential diagnoses was reported only on occasion. There were just two cases with positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR in CSF among 27 patients with potential neurological symptoms and proven COVID-19. However, to date, nothing is known about the sensitivity of this detection method for the examination of CSF. Indeed, CSF examination for tick-borne encephalitis virus with PCR is not standard due to the low sensitivity of the method and probably also the transient presence of the virus in CSF. The best diagnostic approach to diagnose CNS infection with SARS-CoV-2 or parainfectious immune reaction associated with SARS-CoV-2 remains to be elucidated. Until now, no reports about intrathecal SARS-CoV-2-specific IgG synthesis in these cases is available but this could be key for diagnosis. In addition, a better understanding of the reported non-specific symptoms including olfactory and gustatory disturbances, impaired consciousness and encephalopathy is needed. The systemic inflammatory response is a relevant feature of severe COVID-19 and could explain some of these scenarios.

The current analysis tells us that we do need more careful clinical, diagnostic and epidemiological studies to define the manifestations and burden of neurological disease caused by SARS-CoV-2. In this regard, we see a clear need for the use of precise case definitions and focused diagnostic work-up to distinguish non-specific complications of severe disease and focused reporting of neurological involvement in association with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Moreover, appropriate investigations are required to rule out other established causes of brain infections and parainfectious disease before attributing a condition to SARS-CoV-2. It also needs to kept in mind that SARS-CoV-2 causes a large number of asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic infections. A coincidental infection may exacerbate a so-far asymptomatic or known neurological disease of other

causes. Here, we provide guidance for assembling key clinical and paraclinical data that are required to establish insights into the true spectrum of direct and indirect effects of SARS-CoV-2 infection on the nervous system (Table 2).

1) The timing and results from nasopharyngeal swab PCR need to be reported. Most important is the relation to the development of respiratory and neurological signs/symptoms. As soon as antibody testing becomes more widely available, this will also apply to this method. Both IgM and IgG need to be reported. For all detection methods, the testing kit and ideally the exact values need to be mentioned.

2) Potential differentials need to be ruled out. Frequent mimics in a report from Spain of patients evaluated for SARS-CoV-2 infection included hypercapnia, renal or liver failure and side-effects of drug therapy [37]. Comorbidities are frequent in certain patients and risk factors for neurological complications need to be identified.

3) If neuroinvasion or immune-mediated disease of the nervous system is suspected, it is mandatory to perform PCR testing for SARS-CoV-2 in CSF and anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM/IgG testing in serum and CSF to check for intrathecal humoral immune reaction. It will be of major importance to determine whether PCR in CSF specimen is sensitive enough and to define the time window of potential SARS-CoV-2 detection in relation to respiratory and neurological symptoms.

The list of differential diagnoses for meningitis, encephalitis and myelitis is extensive [38,39]. A guide is given in Table 2. We recently summarized potential

Table 2 Recommendations for reporting of clinical features, ancillary examination in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection and nervous system involvement

General		Current symptoms, medical history, comorbidities and concomitant medication
Clinical features and neurophysiological studies	Focal neurological signs	Findings of neurological examination
	CNS	Acute/subacute, standardized definition of encephalopathy and encephalitis [16]
	PNS	Neurological examination, laboratory results with full myopathy panel and nerve conduction studies/electromyography
	Seizures	Report semiology and seizure type according to ILAE guidelines [28]; EEG findings according to standardized reporting
Neuroimaging	Head CT	Report abnormal findings, brain edema, focal contrast enhancement, vascular status
	Brain MRI	Abnormal findings, focal parenchymal, leptomeningeal contrast enhancement or vasculitic changes
	Spine MRI	Abnormal findings, contrast-enhancement (including cranial nerves or peripheral nerve roots in cases of suspected acute neuropathy), myelopathy/atrophy
SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA testing	Nasopharyngeal swab	Results; if multiple test performed, report time point of positive results
	Immunoassay	Antibodies assay (IgM/IgG titers)
	CSF	Results PCR (qualitative and quantitative, if available) and IgM/IgG antibodies in CSF and serum (intrathecal SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody production)
CSF analysis	Routine analysis	Opening pressure, erythrocyte and leukocyte count with differential, glucose, proteins, oligoclonal bands and IgG index
	Differential for neuroinfections and autoimmune conditions	Gram stain, bacterial culture, PCR testing for and common neurotropic viruses (HSV, VZV, enterovirus), cryptococcal antigen testing, venereal diseases testing (if suspected); further testing for the following infectious agents according to medical history, immune status, age and travel history: CMV, *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Treponema pallidum*, *Borrelia* species, opportunistic fungal infections, tick-borne encephalitis virus, Toscana virus and West Nile virus
Laboratory serum testing	Routine studies	Cell blood count and leukocyte differentials, D-dimer, electroytes, LDH, C-reactive protein, kidney and liver function
	Infectious and autoimmune disease	Routine blood cultures, HIV serology, treponemal testing (if suspected), autoimmune antibodies
Treatment	Antivirals, steroids/immunomodulatory treatments, convulsive medication, symptomatic therapy	Specific drug type, dosage, route of administration
	Outcome	Short (7 days) and long-term outcome (3–6 months)

CMV, cytomegalovirus; CNS, central nervous system; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CT, computerized tomography; EEG, electroencephalography; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HSV, Herpes simplex virus; Ig, immunoglobulin; ILAE, International League Against Epilepsy; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PNS, peripheral nervous system; RNA, ribonucleic acid; VZV, Varicella-zoster virus.

indications for CSF examination [1]. Briefly, a permissive strategy for CSF testing should be exerted as follows.

- On suspicion of encephalitis.
- New focal neurological deficit of no plausible differential etiology or no better explanation.
- Delirious condition of no plausible differential etiology or no better explanation.
- Acute cerebrovascular disorders.
- Convulsive or non-convulsive seizures of no plausible differential etiology or no better explanation.
- Patients in the intensive care unit with disorders of consciousness of no plausible differential etiology or no better explanation.

The distinction of encephalopathy and encephalitis needs to be performed according to standard criteria [40]. Brain MRI is critical and contrast-enhanced sequences are mandatory [41]. Coagulation disorders are relatively frequently encountered among patients with COVID-19 and need to be considered in the work-up of cerebrovascular disorders [42,43]. In cases of peripheral nervous system involvement, nerve conduction studies and electromyographic findings need to be reported and antibodies specific for immune-mediated conditions are obligatory to evaluate for differentials of critical illness neuropathy, acute non-inflammatory neuropathies and myopathy [44].

4) Reporting of timing and type of treatment. There is currently no study evidence for the efficacy of a specific treatment for SARS-CoV-2 [45]. Guidelines for the management of respiratory symptoms and systemic complications are outlined elsewhere, may be regionally distinct and are likely to be updated on a regular basis. With regard to neuroinfectious manifestations, one should adhere to guidance on the management of viral meningitis and encephalomyelitis [46]. The management of immune-mediated conditions including GBS and Miller-Fisher syndrome should follow standard guidelines, with intravenous Ig or plasma exchange as first-line options [44]. Coagulation disorders and other systemic complications of SARS-CoV-2 are likely to be of relevance for neurological care and complications. Although an increased risk of seizures has not been reported so far, the potential interaction of antiepileptics and antiviral/antimicrobial therapy needs to be kept in mind.
5) We need information on critical care illness, prognostic factors and outcome.
6) The neuropathological evaluation of patients who died from SARS-CoV-2 infection and had neurological symptoms or atypical clinical courses could provide invaluable insights.

Conclusion

Appropriate data collection, use of precise case definitions and concerted action for larger clinical studies are required to establish a better understanding of neuroinvasive and immune-mediated conditions in the context of SARS-CoV-2 infection. This is not only critical for improved diagnosis and management but also for the development of specific therapies in clinical treatment trials. We therefore propose a reassessment of the available literature on neurological complications in 3–6 months on the basis of a follow-up analysis. It would be interesting to evaluate whether a change in the quality of the reports took place in the meantime.

Disclosure of conflicts of interest

All authors are members of the Infectious Disease Panel of the EAN. P.T. and J.S. are the co-chairs. The Chair of the Scientific Committee of the EAN approved the addition of the phrase "for the Infectious Disease Panel of the EAN".

Data availability statement

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

References

1. Akhvlediani T, Jelcic I, Taba P, Pfausler B, Steiner I, Sellner J. What did we learn from the previous coronavirus epidemics and what can we do better: a neuroinfectiological point of view. *Eur J Neurol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.14395. [Epub ahead of print].
2. Andersen KG, Rambaut A, Lipkin WI, Holmes EC, Garry RF. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Med* 2020; **26:** 450–452.
3. Natoli S, Oliveira V, Calabresi V, Maia LF, Pisani A. Does SARD-CoV-2 invade the brain? Translational lessons from animal models. *Eur J Neurol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.14277. [Epub ahead of print].
4. Das G, Mukherjee N, Ghosh S. Neurological insights of COVID-19 pandemic. *ACS Chem Neurosci* 2020; **11:** 1206–1209.
5. Chen R, Wang K, Yu J, Chen Z, Wen C, Xu Z. The spatial and cell-type distribution of SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 in human and mouse brain. *BioRxiv* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.07.030650
6. Brann DH, Tsukahara T, Weinreb C *et al*. Non-neuronal expression of SARS-CoV-2 entry genes in the olfactory system suggests mechanisms underlying COVID-19-associated anosmia. *bioRxiv* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.25.009084
7. Tseng CT, Huang C, Newman P, *et al*. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection of mice transgenic for the human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 virus receptor. *J Virol* 2007; **81:** 1162–1173.

8. Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, et al. Neurologic manifestations of hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Neurol* 2020; **77**: 683.

9. Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D et al. http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp (accessed 25/04/2020)

10. Chen T, Wu D, Chen H, et al. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. *BMJ* 2020; **368**: m1091.

11. Toscano G, Palmerini F, Ravaglia S, et al. Guillain-Barre syndrome associated with SARS-CoV-2. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **382**: 2574–2576.

12. Li Y, Wang M, Zhou Y, et al. Acute cerebrovascular disease following COVID-19: a single center, retrospective, observational study. *SSRN Electron J* 2020.

13. Yan CH, Faraji F, Prajapati DP, Boone CE, DeConde AS. Association of chemosensory dysfunction and Covid-19 in patients presenting with influenza-like symptoms. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.22579

14. Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, De Siati DR, et al. Olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions as a clinical presentation of mild-to-moderate forms of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a multicenter European study. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-020-05965-1

15. Bagheri SHR, Asghari AM, Farhadi M et al. Coincidence of COVID-19 epidemic and olfactory dysfunction outbreak. *medRxiv* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.23.20041889

16. Beltran-Corbellini A, Chico-Garcia JL, Martinez-Poles J, et al. Acute-onset smell and taste disorders in the context of Covid-19: a pilot multicenter PCR-based case-control study. *Eur J Neurol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.14273

17. Moein ST, Hashemian SMR, Mansourafshar B, Khorram-Tousi A, Tabarsi P, Doty RL. Smell dysfunction: a biomarker for COVID-19. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.22587

18. Helms J, Kremer S, Merdji H, et al. Neurologic features in severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **382**: 2268–2270.

19. Lu L, Xiong W, Liu D, et al. New-onset acute symptomatic seizure and risk factors in corona virus disease 2019: a retrospective multicenter study. *Epilepsia* 2020; **61**: e49–e53.

20. Zhao H, Shen D, Zhou H, Liu J, Chen S. Guillain-Barre syndrome associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection: causality or coincidence? *Lancet Neurol* 2020; **19**: 383–384.

21. Gutierrez-Ortiz C, Mendez A, Rodrigo-Rey S, et al. Miller Fisher syndrome and polyneuritis cranialis in COVID-19. *Neurology* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000009619

22. Ye M, Ren Y, Lv T. Encephalitis as a clinical manifestation of COVID-19. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.017

23. Yin R, Feng W, Wang T, et al. Concomitant neurological symptoms observed in a patient diagnosed with coronavirus disease 2019. *J Med Virol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25888

24. Wu Y, Xu X, Chen Z, et al. Nervous system involvement after infection with COVID-19 and other coronaviruses. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020; **87**: 18–22.

25. Xiang P, Xu XM, Gao LL, Wang HZ, Xiong HF, Li RH. First case of 2019 novel coronavirus disease with encephalitis. *ChinaXiv* 2020. T202003:00015.

26. Poyiadji N, Shahin G, Noujaim D, Stone M, Patel S, Griffith B. COVID-19-associated acute hemorrhagic necrotizing encephalopathy: CT and MRI features. *Radiology* 2020; 201187. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020201187. [Epub ahead of print].

27. Moriguchi T, Harii N, Goto J, et al. A first case of meningitis/encephalitis associated with SARS-Coronavirus-2. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020; **94**: 55–58.

28. Bernard-Valnet R, Pizzarotti B, Anichini A et al. Two patients with acute meningo-encephalitis concomitant to SARS-CoV-2 infection. *medRxiv* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20060251

29. Duong L, Xu P, Liu A. Meningoencephalitis without respiratory failure in a young female patient with COVID-19 infection in downtown Los Angeles, early April 2020. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.024

30. Zhao K, Huang J, Dai D, Feng Y, Liu L, Nie S. Acute myelitis after SARS-CoV-2 infection: a case report. *MedRxiv* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.16.20035105

31. Zhang T, Rodricks MB, Hirsh E. COVID-19-associated acute disseminated encephalomyelitis: a case report. *medRxiv* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.16.20068148

32. Vollono C, Rollo E, Romozzi M, et al. Focal status epilepticus as unique clinical feature of COVID-19: a case report. *Seizure* 2020; **78**: 109–112.

33. Sharifi-Razavi A, Karimi N, Rouhani N. COVID-19 and intracerebral haemorrhage: causative or coincidental? *New Microbes New Infect* 2020; **35**: 100669.

34. Filatov A, Sharma P, Hindi F, Espinosa PS. Neurological complications of coronavirus disease (COVID-19): encephalopathy. *Cureus* 2020; **12**: e7352.

35. Vonck K, Garrez I, De Herdt V, et al. Neurological manifestations and neuro-invasive mechanisms of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus type 2. *Eur J Neurol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.14329

36. Sellner J, Taba P, Öztürk S, Helbok R. The need for neurologists in the care of COVID-19 patients. *Eur J Neurol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.14257.

37. Ezpeleta D, Garcia Azorin D eds. *Manual COVI-19 Para el Neurologo General*. San Sebastian de los Reyes: Sociedad Espanola Neurologia, 2020.

38. Glaser CA, Bloch KC. Encephalitis: a global problem deserving of a global approach. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019.

39. Bloch KC, Glaser C. Diagnostic approaches for patients with suspected encephalitis. *Curr Infect Dis Rep* 2007; **9**: 315–322.

40. Venkatesan A, Geocadin RG. Diagnosis and management of acute encephalitis: a practical approach. *Neurol Clin Pract* 2014; **4**: 206–215.

41. Venkatesan A, Jagdish B. Imaging in encephalitis. *Semin Neurol* 2019; **39**: 312–321.

42. Khalili N, Haseli S, Bahrami-Motlagh H, et al. Neurologic involvement in COVID-19: radiologists' perspective. *Acad Radiol* 2020; **27**: 1051–1053.

43. Terpos E, Ntanasis-Stathopoulos I, Elalamy I, et al. Hematological findings and complications of COVID-19. *Am J Hematol* 2020; **95**: 834–847.

44. Leonhard SE, Mandarakas MR, Gondim FAA, et al. Diagnosis and management of Guillain-Barre syndrome in ten steps. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2019; **15**: 671–683.

45. Sanders JM, Monogue ML, Jodlowski TZ, Cutrell JB. Pharmacologic treatments for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a review. *JAMA* 2020; **323:** 1824–1836.

46. Tunkel AR, Glaser CA, Bloch KC, *et al*. The management of encephalitis: clinical practice guidelines by the infectious diseases society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; **47:** 303–327.

47. Padroni M, Mastrangelo V, Asioli GM, *et al*. Guillain-Barre syndrome following COVID-19: new infection, old complication? *J Neurol* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-020-09849-6

48. Sedaghat Z, Karimi N. Guillain Barre syndrome associated with COVID-19 infection: a case report. *J Clin Neurosci* 2020; **76:** 233–235.

49. Catello Vollono C, Rollo E, Romozzi M, *et al*. Focal status epilepticus as unique clinical feature of COVID-19: a case report. *Seizure* 2020; **19:** 109–112.